Case 4:23-cr-00149-AMO Document 9 Filed 06/07/23 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

	United States of America,)	Case No. <u>23 - CR - 0149</u>	Amo
	Plaintiff, v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	TIME
J	Daniel Lee Whigh Defendant(s).	iam, Ir.		
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on				
12 15	Failure to grant a continuous See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h		ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.	3
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).			
	Failure to grant a continuous taking into account the	nuance would deny exercise of due dili	the defendant reasonable time to obtain cogence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	ounsel,
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
	disposition of criminal paragraph and — based the time limits for a preextending the 30-day ti	cases, the court sets I on the parties' sho eliminary hearing un me period for an in-	ing into account the public interest in the partial the preliminary hearing to the date set for wing of good cause — finds good cause finder Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5 dictment under the Speedy Trial Act (base im. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).	rth in the first or extending 5.1 and for
	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
	DATED: June 7	2023	DONNA M. RYU	
	9		United States Magistrate Judge	
	STIPULATED: Elin	m	Cyrthin John	
	Attorney for I	Defendant	Accietant United States Attorney	